1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	8 FRANK S. D'AGOSTINO,	CASE NO.: 3:12-CV-00065-RCJ-WGC
9	9 Plaintiff, v.	ORDER
10		ORDER
11		
12		
13	Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (#44) entered on June 6, 2013. Plaintif	
14	filed his Objections to United States Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#45) on June 20	
15	5 2013.	
16	The Court has conducted it's <i>de novo</i> review in this case, has fully considered the objections of	
17	the Plaintiffs, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuan	
18	to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The district court may accept, reject, or modify in	
19	whole or in part, the findings and recommendations made by the magistrate judge. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)	
20	The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#44) entered on June	
21	6, 2013, is adopted and accepted.	
22	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint (#27) is	
23	GRANTED.	
24	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Enlargement of Time (#46) is	
25	DENIED as MOOT.	
26	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.	
27	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
28	Dated: This 10 TH day of July, 2013.	
		// //

KOBERT C. JONES UNITED STATES DISTRICT CHIEF JUDGE